

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/730,690	12/08/2003	Michael Miller	1194.1101101	5711
7590 05/04/2006			EXAMINER	
Glenn M. Seager CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC 1221 Nicollet Avenue, Suite 800 Minneapolis, MN 55403-2420			JAWORSKI, FRANCIS J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3768	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

est)t

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/730,690	MILLER, MICHAEL			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jaworski Francis J.	3737			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Fe	ebruary 2006.				
		action is non-final.				
· · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims		•			
<b>4</b> )⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,13-26 and 28-55</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) 1-11,13-26,28-55 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🔲 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	-				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			
C Datast and T	ademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/730,690

Art Unit: 3737

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1 11, 13 26, 28 48, drawn to Apparatus and method for Endotracheal Intubation, classified in class 600, subclass 462.
- Claims 49 55, drawn to Steerable Medical Assembly including an Actuator , classified in class 604, subclass 95.04.

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as in relation to balloon or cuff sets adapted to anchor the endotracheal tube in a fixed location. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search, for example class 600/146+ (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

[Note: the term 'actuator' does not appear in the specification and the Examiner is interpreting this term to pertain to an adaptation associated with active steering controls which actuate or actively deflect the device within the body as opposed to an anchoring function.]

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jaworski Francis J. at telephone number 571-272-4738.

FJJ:fjj

042606

Francie J. Jaworski Primary Examiner